# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

A == 1: = = =4!: :	a agantia fila aafaas		•		
Applicant's or agent's file reference P2981/PCT FO		FOR FURTHER A	ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
International application No. International application No.		International filing d	ate (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/E	P2004/0034	63 01.04.200	4	04.04.2003	
International Applicant	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC				
	LOG AG				
		tional preliminary examination re smitted to the applicant according		International Preliminary Examining Authority	
2. Th	is REPORT consists o	fatotal of 11	sheets, includin	g this cover sheet.	
3. Th	is report is also accom	panied by ANNEXES, comprising	;		
a.	(sent to the a	pplicant and to the International B	ureau) a total of 7	sheets, as follows:	
	sheets o	of the description, claims and/or dreamtaining rectifications authorized	awings which have been a	amended and are the basis for this report and/or ale 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative	
į	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.				
<b>b</b> .		nternational Bureau only) a total o	f (indicate type and number	er of electronic carrier(s))	
				, containing a sequence listing and/or tables	
1.	related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).				
4. Tł	nis report contains indi	cations relating to the following ite	ems:		
		Basis of the report			
	Box No. II	Priority			
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion wit	th regard to novelty, inven	ntive step and industrial applicability	
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention			
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			elty, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
Box No. VI Certain documents cited					
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the internation	al application		
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application					
Date of submission of the demand			Date of completion of t	his report	
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP			Authorized officer		
Facsimile No.			Telephone No.		

Translation

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/003463

Box	No. I	1	Basis of the report		
1.			the language, this report is based on the internation or this item.	al application in the language in	which it was filed, unless otherwise
			ort is based on translations from the original languag the language of a translation furnished for the purpo		,
		int	ternational search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))		
		∐ թս	blication of the international application (Rule 12.4)		
		in	ternational preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/o	or 55.3)	
2.	recei	iving Offi report):	to the elements of the international application, this rece in response to an invitation under Article 14 are mational application as originally filed/furnished printion:		
	_		4-10		as originally filed/furnished
		pages*	1,2,3,3a	received by this Authority on	11.04.2005 with the letter of 11.04.2005
		pages*		received by this Authority on	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	$\boxtimes$	the clair	ns:		
		nos.			as originally filed/furnished
		nos.*		as amended (togethe	r with any statement) under Article 19
		nos.*	1-13	received by this Authority on	11.04.2005 with the letter of 11.04.2005
		nos.*		received by this Authority on	
	$\boxtimes$	the drav			
		sheets	1/1		as originally filed/furnished
		sheets*			
		sheets*		received by this Authority on	
	$\Box$				• ,•
	$\vdash$		nce listing and/or any related table(s) – see Suppleme	ental Box Relating to Sequence L	isting.
3.	Ш	The am	endments have resulted in the cancellation of:		
			ne description, pages		
		L th	ne claims, nos.		***
		L th	ne drawings, sheets/figs		
		L #	ne sequence listing (specify):		
		a	ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	<del></del>	
4.			port has been established as if (some of) the amenda we been considered to go beyond the disclosure as fil		
		U t	ne description, pages		
		<u></u> ⊔ 1	ne claims, nos.		
		L t	ne drawings, sheets/figs	<del></del>	
		<u> </u>	ne sequence listing (specify):		<u>.</u>
		a	ny table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):		
*	If ite	em 4 appi	lies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "supe	erseded."	

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003463

Box	k No. II	Priority
1.	$\boxtimes$	This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the failure to furnish within the prescribed time limit the requested:
		copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 66.7(a)).
		translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 66.7(b)).
2.		This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rule 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this report, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3.	Addi	tional observations, if necessary:
1		
İ		

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003463

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
	the entire international application			
$\boxtimes$	claims Nos. 5-13			
because	e:			
	the said international application, or the said or relate to the following subject matter which d	claims Nos.  oes not require an international preliminary examination (specify):		
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate are so unclear that no meaningful opinion con	particular elements below) or said claims Nos.  uld be formed (specify):  .		
	the claims, or said claims Nos.  by the description that no meaningful opinion	are so inadequately supported n could be formed.		
$\boxtimes$	no international search report has been estab	lished for said claims Nos. 7-15		
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence li Instructions in that:	isting does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative		
	the written form	has not been furnished		
		does not comply with the standard		
	the computer readable form	has not been furnished does not comply with the standard		
	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or a technical requirements provided for in Anne	mino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the $x$ C- $bis$ of the Administrative Instructions.		
	See Supplemental Box for further details.			

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003463

Воз	k No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	
1.	$\boxtimes$	n response to the invitation to restrict or pay additional fees the applicant has:	
		restricted the claims.	
		paid additional fees.	
		paid additional fees under protest.	
		neither restricted the claims nor paid additional fees.	
2.		This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose, according to Rule 68.1, no the applicant to restrict or pay additional fees.	t to invite
3.	This	authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rules 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is:	
		complied with.	
	$\boxtimes$	not complied with for the following reasons:	
		See Supplemental Box	
i			
	Con	sequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:	
4.	. Cor		
		all parts.  the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-4	
1		the parts relating to claims ivos.	

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003463

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-4	YES
		Claims		NO
	Inventive step (IS)		1-4	YES
		Claims		NO
	Industrial applicat	oility (IA) Claims	1-4	YES
		Claims		NO NO
I				

#### 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

DE-A-3826518 (D1) is considered the closest prior art and discloses (in column 1 of the description) a fully automated small parts store and a method for the takeover and/or handover and for the transport (in particular for the paperless picking) of goods that are located in the store using a vehicle (moving table) which travels along the store aisles,

the vehicle calling at a predetermined picking location in a fully automated and controlled manner and taking over or handing over goods at that location, the takeover or handover also being controlled in a fully automated manner (column 1, line 1).

The subject matter of claim 1 differs from that of D1 in that the takeover or handover is controlled in a fully automated manner at the picking location itself, in that the vehicle determines the weight and quantity of the goods that have been taken or handed over and compares this to a setpoint value.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003463

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The objective problem of interest lies in the prevention of incorrect picking of goods.

The solution of weighing the goods directly at the picking location and of determining the quantity thereof and then comparing this with a setpoint value so as to prevent an incorrect delivery is neither suggested nor anticipated by the available prior art.

The subject matter of dependent claims 2-4 is also not anticipated or suggested by the closest prior art, since said claims refer back to claim 1.

Owing to a lack of unity of invention no search was carried out with respect to the subject matter of claims 5-13 (originally filed as claims 7-15) and therefore those claims could not be taken into consideration during the examination.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003463

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of:

#### Box IV

- Lack of unity of invention:
- 1.1. Lack of unity of invention "a priori", PCT Rule 13 and Article 34(3)(a):

The originally submitted application contained two independent claims of different categories, claim 1 (method) and claim 8 (system).

The special technical features according to the characterising parts of independent claims 1 and 8 could be summarised as follows:

Claim 1: the handover method as per the preamble of claim 1 includes the steps of fully automated control of the picking location and fully automated handover of the goods.

The objective technical problem of interest could therefore be worded as follows: "fully automated picking as well as storage and removal from storage".

Claim 8: the system for a handover method as per the preamble of claim 8, characterised in that a rail guide for guiding an electric

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003463

Supplemental Box

overhead conveyor is at least partially provided in the warehouse aisles.

The objective technical problem of interest could therefore be worded as follows: "provision of special conveying means, in this case the use of an electric overhead conveyor which is guided on rails".

### 1.2. Lack of unity of invention "a posteriori":

DE-A-3826518 (D1) is considered the closest prior art and discloses (in column 1 of the description) a fully automated small parts store and a method for the takeover and/or handover and for the transport (in particular for the paperless picking) of goods that are located in the store using a vehicle (moving table) which travels along the store aisles,

the vehicle calling at a predetermined picking location in a fully automated and controlled manner and taking over or handing over goods at that location, the takeover or handover also being controlled in a fully automated manner (column 1, line 1).

The subject matter claimed in the **original** claim 1 thus lacks novelty (PCT Article 33(2)). The subject matter submitted in the original claim 1 is also anticipated by US-A-4,950,119 (D2) and EP-A-140983 (D3).

#### Supplemental Box

The lack of unity of invention "a posteriori" (PCT Rule 13) also applies to claims 2-4 and 6-7, which refer back directly to claim 1 and which comprise different technical features that have different technical effects for solving different objective problems.

Furthermore, the subject matter of claims 2, 4, 5 and 6 is also anticipated by D1 and that of claim 3 by D2 and therefore they do not meet the requirements of PCT Article 33(3).

Each individual invention thus contains the following specific "special" technical features:

- claim 2: "determining the weight of the goods that have been taken over". The objective technical problem lies in checking whether the goods are complete;
- claim 3: "determining the quantity of goods that have been taken over". The objective technical problem lies in checking the picking instructions;
- claim 6: "automatic recognition and adjustment of the handover plane according to the height of storage". The objective technical problem lies in the positioning of the handover device for the handover

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/003463

Supplemental Box

procedure;

claim 7: "the taking of items from the warehouse other than the picked goods". The objective technical problem lies in extending the warehouse picking method to other functions.